IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHERYL J. CAUSEY, Executrix of	•
the Estate of Larue Causey,	

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 2:19-CV-00315

VS.

PANKAJ SETH, et. al.

Defendants.

AJAY AGGRAWAL'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Defendant Ajay Aggarwal ("Defendant"), by and through undersigned counsel, submits its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint. Specifically, Defendant responds to each respective paragraph of the Complaint as follows:

- 1. Defendant is without information to sufficiently respond to the allegations and therefore denies this paragraph.
 - 2. Admitted.
 - 3. Admitted.
- 4. Denied. Said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 5. Denied. Said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 6. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself.

 To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied.
 - 7. Admitted.

THE PELS LAW FIRM LLC

4845 Rugby Ave 3rd Floor Bethesda, MD 20814

8500 Leesburg Pike Suite 400 Vienna, VA 22010

(T) 301-986-5570 (F) 301-986-5571

- 8. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself.

 To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied.
- 9. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself.

 To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied.
 - 10. Admitted.
- 11. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself.

 To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied.
- 12. Denied. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied. Further, said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required..
- 13. Denied. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied. Further, said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required..
- 14. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself.

 To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied.
 - 15. Admitted.

THE PELS LAW FIRM LLC

4845 Rugby Ave 3rd Floor Bethesda, MD 20814

8500 Leesburg Pike Suite 400 Vienna, VA 22010

(T) 301-986-5570 (F) 301-986-5571

- 16. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied. Further, said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 17. Denied. Said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.
 - 18. Admitted.
 - 19. Admitted.
- 20. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied. Further, said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 21. Defendant admits that the plain text of the referenced document speaks for itself.

 To the extent the allegations in this paragraph conflict with the terms of the referenced document, those allegations are denied.
- 22. Denied. Said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 23. Denied. Said allegation is denied as argument and conclusions of law to which no response is required.

To the extent an answer is required to the "Prayer for Relief," Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested therein.

THE PELS LAW FIRM LLC

4845 Rugby Ave 3rd Floor Bethesda, MD 20814

8500 Leesburg Pike Suite 400 Vienna, VA 22010

(T) 301-986-5570 (F) 301-986-5571

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has breached the contract entered into with Defendant which has caused damage to Defendant and may be subject to a counterclaim or separate lawsuit.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by accord and satisfaction.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by Plaintiff's failure to mitigate its damages.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of equitable estoppel.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant respectfully reserves the right to assert any affirmative defenses learned as a result of discovery in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PELS LAW FIRM LLC

4845 Rugby Ave 3rd Floor Bethesda, MD 20814

Dated: May 21, 2019

8500 Leesburg Pike Suite 400 Vienna, VA 22010

(T) 301-986-5570 (F) 301-986-5571

www.pelslaw.com jpels@pelslaw.com /s/ John Balenovich

John Balenovich, Esq., WV Bar #10179 john@wvlitigator.com John J. Balenovich Law Offices, LC 3818 MacCorkle Avenue SE #101 Charleston, WV 25304

Phone: (304) 925-2100 Fax: (304) 925-2193

Counsel for Defendants Pankaj Seth and

Ajay Aggarwal

OF COUNSEL:

THE PELS LAW FIRM

Jon D. Pels, Esq., jpels@pelslaw.com 4845Rugby Avenue, Third Floor Bethesda, MD 20814 (301) 986-5570 (T) (301) 986-5571 (F) Of Counsel for Defendants Pankaj Seth and Ajay Aggarwal

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on May 21, 2019, I reviewed the Court's CM/ECF system and it reports that an electronic copy of the preceding Ajay Aggarwal's Answer to Complaint was served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system on the following:

W. Bradley Sorrells, Esq. Robinson & McElwee PLLC Post Office Box 1791 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/ John J. Balenovich

John J. Balenovich, Esq., WV Bar #10179

THE PELS LAW FIRM LLC

4845 Rugby Ave 3rd Floor Bethesda, MD 20814

**

8500 Leesburg Pike Suite 400 Vienna, VA 22010

**

(T) 301-986-5570 (F) 301-986-5571